

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11342-2022

Date of decision : 21.3.2022

Mukesh Devi

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Petitioner had filed a complaint against private respondents wherein vide order dated 6.11.2017 they were summoned to face trial under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120 B IPC. The petitioner had also got an FIR registered against private respondents for an offence under Section 306 IPC. The petitioner filed CRM-M-40831-2021 alleging that private respondents and police officials investigating the case of petitioner were coercing her to enter into compromise with private respondents. The said petition was disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Mohindergarh at Narnaul, District Mohindergarh to look into representation dated 13.9.2021 submitted by petitioner and take such action as may be warranted in law.

Pursuant to direction of this Court, order dated 13.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) has been passed by Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh wherein it is stated that the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kanina was asked to look into representation of petitioner. The statement of SHO, Police Station Kanina was recorded by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kanina. The

SHO, Kanina stated that investigation of case FIR No. 356 dated 3.9.2021 under Sections 306, 324 IPC was being conducted by ASI Pawan Kumar. He informed that no threat was extended to petitioner to enter into compromise in present case. The representation submitted by petitioner was accordingly ordered to be filed.

Mr. Mehta, learned counsel for petitioner has contended that the petitioner was not in any manner associated by respondents while disposing of her representation. He prayed that respondent No. 2 be asked to decide the representation after associating the petitioner.

In view of above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to dispose of representation of petitioner after duly associating her in the inquiry.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

21.3.2022
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No