

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-11323-2022
Decided on : 25.04.2022

Navdeep @ Navdeep Singh @ Navjeet Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Harinder Singh Ahuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 153 dated 12.09.2020 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 307 and 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala.

On 17.03.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the present case is a case of version and cross-version and two persons from the side of the petitioner's party had also suffered injuries and as per the version in the FIR, the petitioner has been attributed only one injury which is simple in nature and is on the non-vital part i.e. left arm. It is further submitted that co-accused of the petitioner namely Arjan Singh as well as

Mehtab Singh have been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.08.2021 passed in CRM-M-25929-2021.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 25.04.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 17.03.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 17.03.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

25.04.2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No