

COCp-786-2019

Date of Decision :05.07.2022

Rajmani Mishra and others

...Petitioners

versus

M S Nijjer, Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala
and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Kanika Toor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate for respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 13.08.2018 in CWP No.29024 of 2017 in case titled as Raj Mani Mishra and others vs. State of Punjab and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.29024 of 2017 was disposed of by directing the Registrar of the University to consider the case of the petitioners within 12 weeks from the date of order and if found entitled, to allot GPF numbers to the petitioners but in case, the petitioners were not entitled to the same, then to pass a speaking order containing detailed reasons.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondents states that in terms of order, Annexure P/1, needful has been done, GPF numbers allotted and benefits as per entitlement released to the petitioners vide speaking order dated 03.10.2018. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to

learned counsel for the petitioners who on perusal thereof states that although payment as per entitlement have been released to the petitioners but interest has not been paid on the payments which had been withheld for a number of years.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to claim interest on the payments which were released after a long period of time.

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.07.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No