

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14513-2017

Date of Decision: 25.03.2022

RAMANDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

HARWINDER KAUR

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Prince Pasricha, Advocate for
Mr. G.S.Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Kiranpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Aashish Aggarwal, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing due to COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner has assailed the order 02.06.2016 (Annexure P-1) vide which interim maintenance has been awarded.

Learned counsel for the respondent contends that the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. has been finally disposed of in terms of order dated 03.05.2019 and the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that he has no instructions in this regard.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

In the event the aforesaid assertion of learned counsel for the respondent is found to be factually incorrect, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of two months.

25.03.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No