

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12509-2021
Date of decision: 14.02.2022

VARINDER SINGH @ JATINDER SINGH AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.130 dated 23.08.2019 under Sections 406, 420, 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

The operative part of the order dated 17.09.2021, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“ Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the order dated 05.03.2020 passed in CRM-M-9693-2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail was granted to co-accused Gurmit Singh @ Gurmeet Singh, considering that the complainant's counsel acknowledged that there is a valid compromise between the parties.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

List again on 29.09.2021....”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, in pursuance to the order dated 17.09.2021, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners, vide order dated 17.09.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

14.02.2022
Chetan Thakur

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No