

240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2400-2022

Date of Decision: 8th April, 2022

Jugraj Singh and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anand Kumar Maurya, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 and 5 arrayed in the petition.

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. On 17th March, 2022 following order was passed:-

“Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 8.4.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they

may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

4. Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded by Mr. Baljinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Moonak, District Sangrur. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception and they don't apprehend any danger.
5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
6. The petition is disposed of as infructuous

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

8th April, 2022

Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |