

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

**CRM-M-17400-2021
Date of Decision:30.03.2022**

Sonu Dhanda

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially complainant was agreeing for compromise but later on he resiled and as such statement could not be recorded. He prays for withdrawal of the present petition with the liberty to file fresh one in case the complainant again agrees to enter into the compromise.

Request is not opposed.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of as having been withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. It is clarified that withdrawal of the present petition shall not come in the way of filing fresh petition.

Pending application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2022

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No