

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

R.S.A. No. 1814-1992

Date of decision:- 13.05.2022

Gurmukh Dass Giani Sewak Param Sant Mastanaji

... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, AAG, Haryana

* * * *

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Suit for mandatory injunction filed by the appellant-plaintiff was dismissed by the trial court, vide judgment and decree dated 7.8.1990. The appeal preferred against the said decree also failed, and was dismissed by the first appellate court on 27.7.1992. This is how, the present appeal is pending, post admission, since 4.6.1993.

On 29.04.2022, when the matter was taken up, none had caused appearance on behalf of the appellant to pursue the matter. The position is not any different even today. That being so, this court is choice-less, but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

13.05.2022

deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No