

CRM-M-11783-2022
Date of decision:22.03.2022

NEEKU @ SANJEEV KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since the prayer in the instant petition, is that directions, be made, upon the learned trial Judge concerned, to make an expeditious decision, upon the cancellation report, and, upon the protest petition, as, filed against the acceptance of the cancellation report, as arise, from FIR No.0293 of 22.07.2018, registered at Police Station Old Faridabad, District Faridabad, wherein offences under Sections 323, 324, 326, 307, 452, 506, 34 of IPC are embodied. Thereupon, and, since the afore made prayer, is not opposed by the learned State counsel, therefore, the afore made prayer is allowed, and, the learned trial Judge concerned, is directed to make a decision, in accordance with law, within one month, and, after hearing all concerned, both upon the cancellation report preferred before him/her, by the investigating officer, and, also qua the protest against its acceptance, as preferred before him/her, by the respondent-complainant.

2. Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

22.03.2022

Ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No