

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision :14.07.2022

1. CRM-M-12374-2021 (O&M)

Nand Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Anr.

..... Respondents

2. CRM-M-14724-2021 (O&M)

Arvind Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Anr.

..... Respondents

3. CRM-M-14733-2021 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Molly A. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jatinder K. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

By this common order, all the above said petitions arising out
of the same FIR are being disposed of.

Petitioners have preferred the instant petitions under Section
438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.26 dated

25.02.2021, under Sections 354, 354-A, 498-A, 323, 34, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala.

By virtue of the order dated 01.04.2022, while granting interim protection, the petitioners were directed to join investigation.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners before this Court are the mother-in-law, husband and brother-in-law and they have joined the investigation and thus, the interim order may kindly be made absolute.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Balbir Kaur submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and the recovery of the gold articles remains to be effected.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that recovery of gold articles is yet to be effected.

I have interacted with investigating officer namely SI Balbir Kaur, who is present in the Court. She submits that during the joining of the investigation by petitioners they have stated that no gold articles were entrusted or ever given in the dowry.

After, hearing the parties, this Court is of the opinion that the case needs to be decided on merits by the trial Court.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 01.04.2022 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and all the three petitions stand allowed.

All the pending applications are also disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

14.07.2022
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No