

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

147

COC-495-2022

Date of Decision : 27.04.2022

Om Parkash

....Petitioner

V/S

Arun Kumar Gupta and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Mohan Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Harshwardhan, AAG Haryana

Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.3

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

Complaining violation of directions issued by the Court in CWP-23-2022, titled as “Om Parkash Vs. State of Haryana and others”, dated 01.02.2022, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The operative part of the order reads as under:-

“During the course of resumed hearing today, learned State counsel informs us that the outstanding dues pertaining to the booth in question are Rs. 2,97,060/-. Further more, since the original allottee has died and has left behind eight legal heirs, a No Objection Certificate would be required to be provided from the other legal heirs so as to facilitate transfer of ownership in the name of the present petitioner.

Statement made by learned State counsel is that in the eventuality of the petitioner fulfilling the afore-noticed two requirements of paying the outstanding amount of Rs. 2,97,060/- as also providing No Objection Certificates from the other legal heirs, the file as regards transfer of ownership would be processed expeditiously and in any

case within a period of four weeks from the date the petitioner fulfils the requirements as noticed herein above.

Counsel for the petitioner has accepted the stand taken on behalf of the respondents and is agreeable to do the needful.

In the light of the above, no further directions are required to be passed.

Respondents would remain bound by the statement recorded of learned State counsel.

Needless to observe that the petitioner would also be liable to deposit the applicable transfer fee.

Petition disposed of.”

Learned counsel representing respondent No.3 submits that a public notice was published in the newspaper in order to invite objections. The period of objections expires today. He submits that the necessary order in this regard shall be passed within a period of two weeks, from today.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival if the order is not complied with.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No