

106
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11637-2022
Date of Decision: 21.03.2022

Varun

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case the petitioner was falsely implicated and his name was nominated after a period of one month.

However, at the outset, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kishor Kumar has stated that the petitioner has already been declared as a proclaimed offender in the present case and, therefore, the present petition was not maintainable in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Pardeep Sharma [2014(1) SCC (Criminal) 768]*.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Pardeep Sharma (Supra)* has held that once a person has been declared as a proclaimed offender, then the petition for anticipatory

bail would not be maintainable.

In view of the above, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

21.03.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No