

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

243

CRM-M-15007-2016

Date of Decision: December 21, 2022

State of Punjab

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Sumit Sahney and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Aditya Kapoor, AAG, Punjab
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Ms. Sukriti Gupta, Legal Aid Counsel
for respondents No.2 and 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the dismissal of the revision by the Sessions Court, which uphold the order of the trial Court, who had directed to supply translated copies of the Police challan in English to the accused persons, who do not understand Punjabi, the State has come up before this Court.

Counsel for the accused-respondents submits that they are satisfied in case copies of challan are supplied to them in English as ordered by trial Court.

Given the stand taken by the accused-respondents, as they are seeking only documents under Section 207 Cr.P.C. in the language they understand and denial to them is a violation of their right. State counsel fails to establish any ground for non-supply of copies of challan under Section 207 Cr.P.C. in the language the accused-respondents understand.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed with the directions to the State to supply copies of the challan in English or Hindi to the accused persons within one month from today.

Liberty reserved to the accused to come to this Court again in case of non-compliance.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

December 21, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No