

CRM-6218-2022 in/and
CRM-M-12654-2021

-1-

208+111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-6218-2022 in/and
CRM-M-12654-2021
Date of Decision: 22.02.2022

Subham

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dhanvinder Singh Nigha, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CRM-6218-2022:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed
and Annexures A-1 and A-2 are taken on record.

Application stands disposed of.

CRM-M-12654-2021:

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.392, dated
10.12.2020, under Sections 406, 420, 473 and 201 of the IPC, registered at
Police Station Pinjore, Panchkula, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that investigation in
the case is over and the challan has already been presented and as the parties
have already compromised their dispute, which part is also within the
knowledge of the Investigating Agency, petitioner may kindly be extended
the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes the factum that the
investigation in the case is over and the challan has already been presented.

He also agrees to the fact that the parties have compromised their dispute.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the facts of this case that investigation is over and challan has already been presented and also the fact that the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes in view of the restrictive working of the Courts due to Covid-19 pandemic, no useful purpose will be achieved in keeping the petitioner behind the bars during entire period of trial, especially when the parties have compromised their dispute, which will also eliminate the apprehension with regard to petitioner influencing the trial or any witnesses.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

22.02.2022
Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No