

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

272 CRM-M-15079-2022
Date of Decision: 28.07.2022

Paitu Ram Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. S.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 10.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing the official respondents not to call the petitioner and his family members in the police station on the complaint of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 08.03.2022 (Annexure P-4) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed by the learned State counsel.

Given above, respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to decide the representation dated 08.03.2022 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within thirty working days from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

28.07.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No