

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12458-2021

Date of decision : 15.07.2022

Vikas Bedi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurdeep Singh Nehra, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.86 dated 02.03.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 342, 380, 427, 452, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Rewari City, District Rewari.

On 18.03.2021, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR has been registered by the complainant just to pressurize the petitioner to resolve the dispute about a hotel of their relative. Moreover, the complainant was not sure about the weapon for which the offence under the Arms Act has been registered.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting

officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

18.03.2021”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation on 27.11.2021 and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 18.03.2021, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 18.03.2021 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 15, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No