

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22517-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.01.2022**

Raj Kumar	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Haryana & others		... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate for the petitioner.
...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Challenge in the instant petition is to an order dated 29.09.2009 passed by the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board.

Counsel at the very outset seeks withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to file a petition afresh but with better particulars.

Prayer is allowed.

Petition stands disposed as with withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

It is, however, clarified that the liberty being granted to file a petition afresh would not be construed as if this Court had condoned the aspect of delay, if any.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

06.01.2022
harjeet

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii) Whether reportable?	Yes/No