

NEERAJ CHAUDHRY

...Petitioner

Versus

K. M. PANDURANG

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to pursue COCP No.1522 of 2022 which has been filed seeking compliance of order dated 02.04.2022 in CWP No.6865 of 2022 against the decision of the Financial Commissioner.

[2] The same is not opposed to by the learned AAG.

[3] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.09.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No