

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-10896 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15.03.2022

Mandeep

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Berwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

*[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court]*

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0771 dated 22.12.2021, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Narnaund, District Hansi.

It is submitted that the present FIR is nothing but a counter blast to FIR No.770 dated 22.12.2021 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323 and 324 IPC, which was got registered by the real brother of the petitioner against the complainant and his family members. No specific allegation or particular injury has been attributed to the present petitioner.

Further, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute between the parties has been compromised and the affidavit of the complainant to the said effect is annexed as Annexure P-3.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate put in appearance on behalf of the complainant by filing vakalatnama, which is taken on record and admits the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid fact that the parties have compromised the matter between themselves, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to concession of anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required and in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) *that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) *that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) *that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

15.03.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No