

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10983-2022
Date of decision: 15.03.2022**

Chamkaur Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 14 dated 28.01.2022, registered under Sections 21 and 27-A of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Sarai Amanat Khan, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the police party headed by Inspector Paramjeet Singh, while on patrol duty, received a secret information that three persons are coming in a white color vehicle bearing registration number PB-02-CS-0213 and they are in possession of intoxicant substance like heroin and drug money. Later on, a car was seen and when it was signalled to stop, the driver accelerated the speed of the car and took the same in front of the house of co-accused Angrej Singh. The police party also chased the car and then three clean shaven persons, who were carrying black colored polythene bags in their hands, alighted from the car and fled away from the spot. The aforesaid persons were identified by the police as Angrej Singh, petitioner Chamkaur Singh and Malkeet Singh as they were earlier known

to the police on account of other cases pending against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was not arrested and has been implicated falsely in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in the inquiry, co-accused Malkeet Singh was found to be innocent.

In reply, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in some other FIRs as well, i.e. FIR Nos. 43/2014, 90/2014, 47/2015, 10/2018 and 43/2018, all registered at Police Station Sarai Amanat Khan.

Learned State counsel further submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious and looking into his antecedents, he is not entitled to get the concession of anticipatory bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, considering the allegations against the petitioner and also in view of the fact that he is already involved in a number of other FIRs, I find no ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

15.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No