

LPA-223-2022 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
123**

**LPA-223-2022 &
CM-558-LPA-2022**

Date of decision: 17.03.2022

SATPAL

..APPELLANT

Vs.

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL***

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 02.11.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the writ petition preferred by the petitioner (appellant herein) challenging the order dated 22.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana dismissing the revision petition preferred by the appellant assailing the order dated 16.11.1993 (Annexure P-1) on the ground that there was a delay of 9800 days in filing the said revision petition, stands dismissed.

The learned Single Judge has found and rightly held that the ground of a person being illiterate and not aware of his right of filing a revision against the said order cannot be a ground for condoning the delay especially when it is an inordinate, unexplained one. That apart, it is not even the case of the appellant that passing of the said order was not to the

LPA-223-2022 (O&M)

knowledge of the appellant as it is apparent from the records that the order dated 16.11.1993 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Assistant Collector-cum-Tehsildar 2nd Grade, Bhiwani, ordering *Sanad Takseem* had been given effect to and that too, way back.

In the light of the above, we do not find any ground to interfere in the order dated 02.11.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge, as impugned.

The appeal, therefore, stands dismissed.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

March 17, 2022
PJ

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No