

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10939-2022
Date of Decision: 02.08.2022

PARVEEN KUMAR ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 15.03.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing due to COVID-19 pandemic.

Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.447 dated 08.11.2021 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 05.06.2020 and she left the matrimonial house in February, 2021. False and vague allegations have been levelled in the FIR. No particulars of any articles of istridhan have been specified. Moreover, the mother of the petitioner was arrested in the instant case and the recovery has already been effected.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 21.07.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Simta, has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspect and further contends that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 15.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

02.08.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No