

117.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5438-2022

Date of Decision: 17.03.2022

(Heard through VC)

ANITA KADIAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Ahlawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

By way of instant petition, the petitioner seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to decide the representations dated 12.10.2021 and 14.10.2021 (Annexures P-14, P-15 and P-16) which are pending consideration.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is being continuously harassed by constant enquiries despite the fact that her work and conduct has been found to be satisfactory and that she has not been conveyed any adverse ACRs as on date. It is contended that the petitioner is in the zone of consideration to be appointed as Director, FSL Madhuban from the post of Deputy Director and has now been targeted by frivolous complaints being filed against her. It is submitted that against these frivolous complaints, various representations have already been submitted to the higher authorities, but no decision thereon has been

taken so far. The petitioner herein would be satisfied at the present moment in case the said representations annexed with the writ petition i.e. Annexures P-14, P-15 and P-16 are decided at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and would submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representations of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take a decision on the representations dated 12.10.2021 and 14.10.2021 (Annexures P-14, P-15 and P-16) of the petitioner expeditiously and pass a speaking order which shall be communicated to the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. However, in case such a decision is not taken on the representations within the stipulated period, the officer responsible for the lapse would be personally liable to deposit costs of Rs.20,000/- with the High Court Advocates Welfare Fund, Chandigarh.

17.03.2022

sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No