

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-263-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 9, 2022

Krishan Kumari Kalra

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1999, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue in service up to the age of superannuation with all consequential benefits.

2. Petition was admitted on 25.01.1999.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 22 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 9, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No