

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.104

**Civil Writ Petition No.6311 of 2021
Date of Decision : November 10, 2022**

Khurshid and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Respondents No.3 to 5 had filed two separate partition applications dated 21.10.2016 for partition of separate chunks of land measuring 35K 17M and 51K 09M respectively. The applications were consolidated and partition proceedings were concluded by issuance of *sanad takseem* dated 26.03.2018. Aggrieved, the petitioners filed the revision petition but the same has been dismissed vide impugned order dated 04.02.2020 passed by the Financial Commissioner. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that one opportunity may be granted to the petitioners to file objections to *Naksha G* as inadvertently the same could not be filed.

The argument is misconceived. It is not the case of the petitioners that they could not file objections to *Naksha G* because they were not served in accordance with law and thus, seeking to get the sanad set aside for grant of one opportunity for filing objections cannot be permitted.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

November 10, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No