

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-8425-2020

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Sushila Rani and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ajay Singh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

On instructions from ASI Ram Parkash, learned counsel for the State does not dispute the contention made by counsel for the petitioners.

Given above, petition is allowed and interim order dated 10.03.2022 is made absolute, subject to the following condition:-

Within ten days, the petitioners shall mention details of all assets, held either individually or jointly, including bank balances, fixed deposits, DEMAT Accounts, to the Investigator and its copy to the complainant/victim(s).

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

26.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No