

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1981-1990 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2022

Babu Ram

....Appellant

Versus

Dharam Chand and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Sr. Advocate with
 Mr. Dhruv Mittal, Advocate for the appellant

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

While assailing the concurrent findings of facts, arrived at by the courts below, while dismissing the suit filed by the plaintiff, for grant of decree of permanent injunction, the plaintiff has filed the present appeal. The plaintiff claims decree on the basis of an agreement dated 22.11.1982, giving the property on lease to him by Smt. Ram Kali. By a Civil Court decree dated 15.03.1985, defendants no.3 to 5 have been declared as owners whereas defendant no.1 is their tenant. Both the courts, on appreciation of evidence, have found that the plaintiff has failed to prove his possession. The revenue record continues to show the defendants to be the owner in possession.

Though learned counsel representing the appellant made sincere attempts, however, failed to draw the attention of the Court to any perversity or substantive error in reading, misreading or non-reading of the evidence.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

07.09.2022
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No