

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CRM-M-8382-2020

Date of decision: 03.03.2022

Ashok Mittal

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, APP, UT, Chandigarh
for respondents No.1 to 5.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. issuance of directions are sought to respondents No.1 to 5 to take action against respondent No.6 – a proclaimed person and against whom FIR under Section 174-A IPC has been registered.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 5 submits that in the FIR lodged against respondent No.6 under Section 174-A IPC he stands arrested and is being proceeded in accordance with law.

In view of the above statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

March 03, 2022

Jyoti 1

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No