

423 Ist case

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17955-2001

Date of decision: 01.12.2022

Radhey Shyam Dwivedi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing impugned order dated 23.05.2001 (Annexure P-19) vide which appeal against the order dated 25.06.1999 passed by Director of Agriculture, Haryana, awarding the appellant punishment of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect was rejected.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 04.01.2002.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. In this context, it is important to note that a specific notice has been issued in the cause list, as well as, on the notice Board of the Court room, stating "***In the category of "To Be Taken UP" Regular matters shown in the daily cause list, if learned counsel still do not appear, it would be an indicator that they are not interested in pursuing the same and the cases shall then be decided regardless of their presence. Learned counsels are, therefore, requested to watch the regular cause list***"

of the Court.” Notwithstanding none appears. Court is left with no choice but to dismiss the petition.

4. Even otherwise by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

5. Be that as it may, writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

December 01, 2022

Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No