

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11596-2021

Date of decision : 29.08.2022

NAVNEET KUMAR SANDHU ALIAS NAVNEET SINGH SINDHU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 25.03.2021, the following the order was passed:-

*“Case is being taken up for hearing through
Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.*

*Learned counsel contends that draft of
Rs.5,25,000/- in favour of the complainant could not be
presented before the learned trial Court in terms of
order dated 12.03.2021, in CRM-M-11596-2021, within
the stipulated period of one week, on account of non-
receipt of certified copy of the order in time.*

*In the circumstances, learned counsel requests
for extension of time to deposit fresh draft of
Rs.5,25,000/- before the learned trial Court in terms of
order dated 12.03.2021, in CRM-M-11596-2021.*

*In view of the position noted above, time for
depositing the draft in terms of order dated 12.03.2021,
in CRM-M-11596-2021, is extended till 02.04.2021.*

CM disposed of.”

Pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has re-validated /redeposited a draft of Rs.5,25,000/-. The said fact finds mentioned in the order of the learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad and the relevant extract of the same is reproduced herebelow:-

“Further directions has not been received from Hon'ble High Court. Arrest of the appellant was stayed till 31.03.2021 subject to deposit of fresh valid draft of Rs.5,25,000/- The time for depositing the said draft was extended by the Hon'ble High Court till 2.4.2021. The said demand draft was deposited by the appellant before this court on 31.03.2021. However, the further directions has not been received. Let further direction from Hon'ble High Court be awaited for 11.05.2021.

Dated of order: 2.4.2021.

sd/-

*(Deepak Gupta)
Sessions Judge, Faridabad
UID No.HR0025”*

The complainant has also received the said payment as is borne out from the order dated 29.03.2022. The relevant extract of the same is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“Learned counsel for appellant has moved an application seeking exemption from personal appearance of appellant submitting that he has undergone eye surgery and he has been advised test for 07 days. The application is supported by medical document issued by Dr. Sahil Thakur Pristyan Care, Gurugram. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, personal appearance of the appellant is exempted for today.

Respondent-complainant has received cheque bearing No.437858 dated 01.02.2022 amounting to Rs.5,25,000/- drawn on State Bank of India Branch Sector 9 Faridabad on 10.02.22 and he has made endorsement on the order sheet itself.

Now case is adjourned to 20.5.222 for awaiting further directions from Hon'ble High Court.

Dated of order: 29.03.2022

Sd/-

(Y.S. Rathor)

*Sessions Judge, Faridabad
UID No.HR0053”*

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition, the same is hereby disposed of.

The Trial Court is free to decide the matter in accordance with law.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

29.08.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No