

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-10982-2022

Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Amanpreet, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Suresh Kumar.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.247, dated 10.07.2020, Police Station Sadar Dhuri, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 15.03.2022, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.247, dated 10.7.2020, Police Station Sadar Dhuri, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

The complainant alleges that the petitioner in connivance with Ajaib Singh, Sahab Singh and Rajinder Singh, forged an agreement to sell dated 28.7.2018 in respect of the land jointly owned by the petitioner and his brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR infact is a counter blast and has been lodged simply to pressurize the petitioner to

withdraw the civil suit for specific performance of agreement dated 28.7.2018 which had been filed by the petitioner much prior to lodging of the FIR or even filing of any complaint by the complainant. Learned counsel further submits that infact in the said civil suit the Court has restrained the complainant from alienating the property in any manner vide order dated 25.9.2018 and the present FIR came to be lodged thereafter on 10.7.2020 on the basis of complaint dated 19.10.2019.

Notice of motion for 27.9.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and that he is not wanted in any other case.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and otherwise has a clean record, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 15.03.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

27.09.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**