

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.119

CR No.896 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15.03.2022

Vijay Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Phool Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein seeks the issuance of a direction to the Additional District Judge, Gurugram to decide the application (Annexure P-1) moved by him for impleading the Legal Representative in the Reference Petition under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, as pending before it, in a time bound manner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner in this revision-petition and have perused the file carefully.

Though, the petitioner herein avers that the application moved by him for impleading the LR, is pending before the Court below but a bare perusal of the said application, i.e. Annexure P-1, reveals that the petitioner has moved the same under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC with a prayer to implead him as the respondent in the afore-said petition.

However, keeping in view the fact that almost for the preceding two (02) years, the Courts have been functioning in a restrictive

.....

manner due to the unprecedented situation arisen because of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19, this Court does not find any good reason to issue the direction, as prayed for in the instant revision petition.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand, being *sans* any merit, is hereby dismissed.

15.03.2022
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>