

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-8221-2022

Date of decision : 02.08.2022

SUMIT

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been denied the benefit of salary of Rs.53,100/- on the ground that the petitioner does not have masters degree in Engineering, which is minimum qualification required for appointment to the post of lecturer whereas, the similarly situated employees who are engineering graduates, and are working, have been allowed the salary of Rs.53,100/- per month. Learned counsel submits that similarly situated employees have been treated differently by the respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that the legal notice which the petitioner has served upon the respondents, has already been replied to wherein, it has duly been mentioned that the petitioner does not fulfill the required qualification and therefore, he is being paid the salary of Rs.35,400/-.

Learned State counsel further submits that in the legal notice,

no specific name of a person, who does not fulfill the qualification but is being paid the salary of Rs.53,100/- has been mentioned by the petitioner and therefore, the pleas which is being raised by the petitioner herein, has never been raised before the respondents.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the respondents by giving the name of the guest lecturer, who has the similar qualification as that of the petitioner but is being paid the salary of Rs.53,100/-

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising any grievance, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same by passing appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

02.08.2022

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No