

111 (2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-765-2020

Date of Decision :29.09.2022

KULVINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

KRISHAN KUMARIAS AND ORS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 27.01.2020 in CWP No.16563 of 2012 in case titled as Kulvinder Singh vs. State of Punjab an Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 27.01.2020 reveals that CWP No.16563 of 2012 was decided in terms of CWP No.10670 of 2010 in case titled as Liaqat Ali and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and Ors whereas perusal of decision of CWP No.10670 of 2010 dated 27.01.2020 reveals that the said writ petition was disposed of by issuing directions that all the petitioners who had more marks than the last selected General Category candidate be issued appointment letters, if not already issued, within two days and such candidates be treated to have been appointed w.e.f. the date the last General Category candidate was appointed to the post of ETT teacher besides they would be entitled to all notional benefits and actual financial benefits w.e.f. the date they had joined/would join service.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that vide order Annexure R/1 dated 07.03.2020, the petitioner has been issued appointment letter, therefore, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to pursue the matter with the respondents for grant of notional benefits in terms of the decision as referred to above.

[4] The same is not opposed to by the learned AAG.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No