

[112] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.776 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.05.2022

Anu Gupta w/o late Sh. Rajiv Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Arun Kumar Sharma, Executive Officer, Municipal
Council, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 04.10.2019, in CWP No.28918 of 2019 in case titled as 'Anu Gupta versus *State of Punjab and others*'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.28918 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide representation dated 18.07.2019 within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioner was found entitled to any monetary benefits, to release the same to her within three months thereafter.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the filing of the instant petition, the respondent has released the financial benefits to the petitioner as per her entitlement in terms of representation dated 18.07.2019, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.05.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No