

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

RSA-1455-1990

Date of decision: 08.12.2022

BALDEV RAJ

..Appellant

Versus

BALDEV DUTT & ORS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Harvin Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. K.S. Cheema, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

While assailing the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below, the plaintiff has come up in the second appeal. The plaintiff's suit for grant of decree of permanent injunction in order to restrain the defendants from interfering into the peaceful possession of plot measuring 3 marla and 3 sarsai has been dismissed by both the Courts below. It has been held that the plaintiff was unable to prove his ownership. It was also held that the plaintiff has failed to prove his possession.

This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the appellant.

Though, a sincere attempt was made by the learned counsel representing the appellant to persuade the Court, however in absence of any substantive error, it would not be appropriate to interfere in the concurrent findings of fact.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 08th, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*