

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1228 of 1990 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 26.04.2022

Harish Chander Singla and AnotherAppellants

versus

Naresh Kumar and AnotherRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Ms. Arpana Singhal, Advocate for
Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the appellants

Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Amarjit Markan, Advocate for the respondents

..

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral):

Ms. Singhal states that earlier the appellants were being represented by their office. However, they have no instructions in the matter. Vide order dated 17.03.2020 fresh notices were ordered to be issued to the appellants. As per the service report, appellant No.1 remains unserved as the house was found locked and tenant informed that the owner rarely visits this place while appellant No.2 could not be served due to incomplete address.

The notices were sent on the addresses given by the appellants themselves in the memo of parties.

In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution. Dismissed for want of prosecution. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

26.04.2022
parkash

<u>NOTE:</u> Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking Whether reportable: YES/NO
--