

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

246

**CRWP No.2285 of 2022
Date of Decision:-04.08.2022**

Baljit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Samridhi Sareen, Advocate as Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuing directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents from interfering with their personal lives.

There has been no representation on behalf of the petitioners on the last date of hearing. Consequently, Ms. Samridhi Sareen, Advocate, who is a Legal Aid Counsel as per the panel prepared by the High Court to represent the Single Benches on criminal side and who is present in the Court, has been directed to assist the Court in the present matter.

She has obtained a copy of the instant petition from the learned State counsel and after going through the same, contended that the

petitioners have been staying together, however, the validity of the marriage cannot be commented upon since there is no document to substantiate that the marriage of petitioner No.1 on a prior occasion, was annulled in accordance with law. She, nonetheless, contends that nonetheless as the petitioners are major and in the event they apprehend any danger to their lives and liberty, the official respondents would be enjoined upon to redress such grievance.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, on instructions submits that statement of both the petitioners have been recorded, wherein they have stated before the police authorities that the matter has been amicably resolved within the family and that they do not apprehend any threat to their lives and liberty. The aforesaid statements have been seen by the learned Legal Aid Counsel and that she is satisfied after going through the aforesaid statements.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Copy of this order be sent to the Legal Aid Authority for necessary information.

August 04, 2022
Vijay Asija

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No