

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-13653-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.09.2022

Amandeep Jakhu

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rajneesh Madhok, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab

Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate for respondent No.2

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present petition is liable to be allowed on the ground that the cheque has been wrongly dishonoured with the remark "returned to drawer" whereas in the Bank account funds were sufficient for honouring the cheque drawn for an amount of Rs.1 lakh.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that though the amount seems to be available in the bank account but it was frozen.

In the light of the fact that the present complaint involves disputed questions of facts as is evident from the contentions as raised by learned counsel for the parties.

The petition is not fit to be entertained as disputed questions are involved.

Dismissed.

08.09.2022

V.Vishal

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No