

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4796 of 2019

Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Vishal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

A Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following
order on 22.02.2019:-

“Learned counsel submits that pursuant to advertisement dated 20.02.2016 (P-1), the petitioners participated for the post of LDC under General category and BCB category respectively. Petitioner No.1 secured 82 marks under General category whereas the last candidate who has been called for interview secured 22 marks. Petitioner No.2 secured 80 marks under BCB category whereas the last candidate who has been called for interview secured 40 marks. Petitioners were called for scrutiny of documents as well.

Notice of motion for 28.05.2019.

In the meantime, the petitioners shall be provisionally interviewed. The result of the petitioners be kept in a sealed cover.”

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners have been interviewed in terms of the order dated 22.02.2019. Petitioner No.1 has secured an aggregate of 90 marks which include 78 marks in the written examination and 12 marks in the interview while the last selected candidate in the general category has secured 118 marks. Petitioner No.2 who had applied in the BCB category, has secured an aggregate of 85 marks which include 72 marks in the written examination and 13 marks in the interview while the last selected candidate in the BCB category has obtained 90 marks.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioners have secured less marks than the last selected candidates in their category, I do not find any merit in the petition, which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

20.05.2022

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No