

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

**CWP-4904-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.05.2022**

Satpal Singh and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that pending representation has been decided and order has been passed on 18.05.2022. Thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the order aforementioned is contemptuous in nature. The Tehsildar has refused to enter a mutation, even though, civil proceedings have been finalized. Thus, the concerned official needs to be hauled up.

The argument is not acceptable. The only prayer made in the writ petition is for a direction to the revenue authorities to perform their statutory duty as stipulated in Section 34 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887. The same has been done. If, an erroneous order has been passed, it can be challenged in accordance with law.

No further orders are required to be passed in this writ petition.

The same is accordingly disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

27.05.2022
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No