

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-2197-2022

Date of Decision:-11.03.2022

Veena & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents in the nature of Mandamus to protect the life and liberty of petitioners from private respondents.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Superintendent of Police, District Jind-respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 08.03.2022 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order

without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

March 11, 2022
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>