

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10481-2022

Date of decision: 04.04.2022

Sunil @ Sunny

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Premjit S. Hundal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Sheoran, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.39 dated 15.02.2022, registered at Police Station Sadar Jhajjar, under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 506 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the petitioner is a whistleblower, who unearthed the racket that the Reliance Group is forcefully purchasing the land of the farmers, who are even not being paid the actual price of the land. The injury allegedly attributed to the petitioner, entails the offence under Section 323 IPC only. It is further submitted that a compromise has been effected between the parties.

On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that it is a case of simple injury.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is a case of simple injury. Moreover, the complainant has also sworn in an affidavit that he has no objection, if the bail is granted to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court finds that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to custody. Therefore, finding merit in the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

It is made clear that if pursuant to and in compliance with this order, the petitioner does not cooperate with the investigating agency, the investigating agency would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail.

04.04.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No