[137] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.459 of 2022
Date of Decision : 07.04.2022

Dharambir Singh …Petitioner

Versus

V.S. Tanwar, IFS, The Principal Chief Conservator,
Panchkula, Haryana ….Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar Bhatia, Advocate and
Mr. Nitin Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

***

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 24.09.20211 in CWP No.19364 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.19364 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide departmental appeal dated 25.01.2021 as expeditiously as possible but not later than 04 month from 24.09.2021.

[3] Today, learned State Counsel has placed on record copy of order dated 05.04.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 05.04.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as

RAJNEESH SHARMA
2022.04.11 17:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order.

statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

RAJNEESH SHARMA
2022.04.11 17:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order.