

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-658-2021

Date of Decision : 29.04.2022

Om Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesham and another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN.

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

...

PANKAJ JAIN, J.(ORAL).

Short reply by way of affidavit has been filed on behalf of
respondent No.2 wherein it has been stated :-

“6. That certified copy of judgment dated 18.08.2020 was received in the office of the answering deponent on 09.09.2020 and accordingly, speaking order dated 28.09.2020 (Annexure-R-I) was passed by the office of the answering defendant and copies were endorsed to the Director of Secondary Education, Haryana, District Education Officer, Sirsa to the petitioner vide letter No. CLC/O-321/04-05/2020-21/925-28 dated 06.10.2020. The claim of the petitioner could not be accepted as the revised pension case was received in the office of the answering deponent with incorrect modified pay scale and same could not be processed. The case was returned to the Pension Sanctioning Authority vide letter No. Return/18109954 dated 28.01.2020 with

the direction to review the case and re-submit through on-line (as already elaborated in para 4).

7. That on receipt of revised recommendation (in response to the letter dated 28.01.2020) from the District Education Officer, Sirsa through on-line mode vide ODMS No. 18140557 for revision of pension in respect of Sh. Om Singh as per Haryana Govt. (F.D) Notification dated 27.05.2019, accordingly Pension @ Rs.28,209/- has been authorized in respect of Sh. Om Singh by the office of the answering deponent as per on-line mode on 05.11.2020. Hence, all the pensionary benefits have been released in favour of Sh. Om Singh by the office of the answering deponent as recommended by the Pension Sanctioning Authority and action pending on the part of the office of the answering deponent for compliance orders dated 18.08.2020 of this Hon'ble Court has already been taken.”

Keeping in view the aforesaid, this Court is satisfied that the order passed by this Court stands complied with.

The contempt petition is disposed off. However liberty is granted to the petitioner to get the same revived in case any grievance at his hands remains.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

29.04.2022

anju

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |