

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-10755-2022

Date of decision : 16.08.2022

Amit Tehlan

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms.Ishita Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.31 dated 14.02.2022 registered under Sections 341, 354-D, 506, 366 and 511 IPC and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Women, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 14.03.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on account of a love affair between the petitioner and the complainant having gone sour; the petitioner and the complainant were in a love affair for the last about 3 years; the families of the petitioner and the complainant had also met to formalize their relationship; however, things did not materialise between them leading to the lodging of the FIR in question; the photographs and the whatsapp chats appended with the petition are clearly supporting the above assertions; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 16.08.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.31, dated 14.02.2022, registered under Sections 341, 354-D, 506, 366, 511 IPC and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Women, District Yamuna Nagar, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date the State shall file a concise status report.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and the afore-referred statement made by learned State counsel, the order of this Court dated 14.03.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

16.08.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No