

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(231)

**CRM No. 29974 of 2021 in/and
CRR No. 1002 of 2021
Date of Decision : 14.02.2022**

Sonu Ruhel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parvesh Kumar Banwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate for the complainant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CRM-29974-2021

Present application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 93 days in filing the revision petition.

Notice of the application was issued, which was accepted by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant.

Today learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application as well as no objection made by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant, delay of 93 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

CRR No. 1002 of 2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that as

the petitioner is a proclaimed offender and the present revision petition is filed against the conviction of the petitioner by the trial Court, which order has already been upheld by the lower Appellate Court, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

February 14, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No