

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8393 of 2020
Date of Decision:- 31.05.2022**

Sukhi Khan @ Sukhwinder Khan and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar,
Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate
for Mr. A.S. Chahal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No.32 dated 19.03.2019, under Sections 148, 308, 323, 341 and 506 IPC read with Section 149 IPC registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur and all other subsequent proceedings arising out the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The above stated FIR was registered on the basis of statement of respondent No.2-minor Sukhchain Singh through his father Hakam Singh, against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2-Sukhchain Singh minor through his father Hakam Singh appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur, along with statements of the parties including that of respondent No.2, have been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are ad idem that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal

proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.32 dated 19.03.2019, under Sections 148, 308, 323, 341 and 506 IPC read with Section 149 IPC registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur and all other subsequent proceedings arising out the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

31.05.2022
P. Chawla

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No