

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5111 of 2022
Date of Decision:-15.03.2022**

The Golden Co-operative Transport Society Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Moudgil, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case the prayer of the petitioner is for replacing his old bus No.HR58-8615 with new bus on the route No.268, which is being operated from Yamuna Nagar to Pipli. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 05.7.2021 (Annexure P-4) with the respondents for the grant of said relief, but the respondents are not deciding the said prayer, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that the route permit of the petitioner is only valid upto 16.3.2022, i.e. upto tomorrow and therefore, the prayer of the petitioner, as being made in the present petition, is for ulterior purposes and not for the change of bus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the route permit is only valid upto 16.3.2022 but the petitioner intends to get the same extended.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case the petitioner is successful in getting his route permit extended, the prayer of the petitioner for the change of bus be also decided by the respondents while passing an order, if any, for the grant of benefit of extension of the permit.

Petition is disposed of in above terms.

March 15, 2022

Vijay Asija

(**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No