

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CRWP No.2156 of 2022
Date of Decision: March 10, 2022**

Vijay Kumar Verma

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

On being enquired whether this petition is not a bar for misjoinder of relief, learned counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer to the extent of issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the Complaint No.PW202201693 dated 27.01.2022 (Annexure P-6).

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel-Mr. Shashank Bhandari, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to decide the Complaint No.PW202201693 dated 27.01.2022 (Annexure P-6) within time bound manner.

The prayer is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to decide the Complaint No.PW202201693 dated 27.01.2022 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 10, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No